# Planetary Ionospheres

2	N. Balan
	N. Balan

1

- Department of Automatic Control and Systems Engineering
- 4 University of Sheffield, Sheffield S1 3JD, UK
- Abstract: The paper presents a summary of the lectures on planetary ionospheres given
- 6 at NASA's 1st Asia Pacific School on International Heliophysical Year conducted at Indian
- <sup>7</sup> Institute of Astrophysics, Kodaikanal, India during 10-22 December 2007. Following an
- 8 introduction, the paper describes the structure of the ionospheres, theory of Earth's iono-
- 9 sphere including the effects of diffusion, neutral wind and electric field, and ionospheric
- 10 electric fields, currents and variability.

1

#### 1. Introduction

Ionosphere is the ionized part of upper atmosphere. In the case of Earth, the ionosphere extends from about 70 to 1000 km height, with peak at around 300 km on the average. It is a weakly ionized plasma with ionized to neutral particle density ratio of about 1:500. The region above ionosphere is plasmasphere, above which is magnetosphere. The ionosphere is embedded in neutral atmosphere (mesosphere-thermosphere), the density of which decreases exponentially with height. There are several books on ionosphere (e.g., Rishbeth and Garriott, 1969; Ratcliffe, 1972; Mahajan and Kar, 1988; Kelley, 1989).

The ionosphere has been studied for its scientific and practical importance. It influences
the propagation of electromagnetic waves and hence has advantages and disadvantages in
communication. It is to exploit the advantages in radio communication and find remedies
for the disadvantages in all branches of tele-communication that the ionosphere has been
studied since Marconi's successful transmission of radio signals across the Atlantic. Since
ionosphere varies from place to place and from time to time, it is important to study it at as
many places as possible and for as long as possible. In this context, ionospheric variations
during geomagnetic storms form an integral part of space weather. Ionospheric studies are
also important for radio astronomy, to account for the irregular changes in the amplitude
and phase (or scintillations) of the radio signals that pass through the ionosphere.

On the scientific side, much of the basic science has been understood. Nevertheless,
the ionosphere is being studied with renewed interest using radio and in-situ techniques
to understand the science of many interesting phenomena that occur in it and also to
understand its interactions with the regions above and below. In addition, the ionosphere
is a naturally existing plasma. We can study it at our will and wish, and use the information
to understand the problems associated with man-made plasmas, in fusion reactors. The

existence of the ionosphere also results in the generation of natural resonators like the ionospheric waveguide formed around the plasma density maximum for the propagation of magnetosonic waves, Alfven resonator formed between the density maximum and an upper altitude at about 3000 km for Alfven waves, and Schumann resonator formed between the nearly perfectly conducting terrestrial surface and ionosphere.

### 40 2. Ionospheric structure

All planets (except Mercury) have ionospheres. The density of Earth's ionosphere in-41 creases with height, reaches a maximum (ionospheric peak) and then decreases for further increase in height (Figure 1). The vertical structure also includes regions of enhanced density, called D, E and F regions during daytime. The D region occurs below 90 km, E region between 90 and 150 km and F region at heights above 150 km. At night, the ionosphere reduces to a single layer structure called F layer. A weak C region sometimes forms near 70 km. Comparatively strong ionization, populated by ion hydrates, sometimes occurring below 70 km is called cosmic ray layer. The regions below and above the ionospheric peak are referred to as bottomside and topside ionospheres. The electron densities in the E and F regions are high enough to reflect medium and short wavelength radio waves used for long distance radio communications. The densities in the D region and below are not sufficient to reflect radio waves for communication; instead, these regions absorb radio waves. Figure 2 shows the electron density profiles of Venus's ionosphere at solar maximum and minimum (Knudsen et al., 1987). As shown, the ionosphere is nearly equally strong at solar

Figure 2 shows the electron density profiles of Venus's ionosphere at solar maximum and minimum (Knudsen et al., 1987). As shown, the ionosphere is nearly equally strong at solar maximum and minimum, with peak at around 150 km height, though topside ionosphere depletes significantly at solar minimum. The structures of the ionospheres of other planets can be found in Hinson (http://www.star.Stanford.edu/projjects/), Atreya et al. (1984), and Mahajan and Kar (1988). Comparing all planets, the ionosphere is stronger in Earth 59 than in other planets.

#### 50 3. Conservation laws

The behaviour of the ionosphere is controlled by chemical, dynamical and energy processes described by the conservation laws of mass, momentum and energy. The chemical processes determine the production and loss of ionization (ions and electrons) while dynamical processes determine movement of ionization. The rate of change of ionization in the ionosphere can be obtained from the *continuity equation* (or law of conservation of mass)

$$\frac{\delta n_e}{\delta t} = Q - L - \nabla \cdot (n_e \mathbf{V}) \tag{1}$$

where Q, L and  $n_e$  are the rate of production, rate of chemical loss and number density of electrons;  $\nabla .(n_e \mathbf{V})$  is the loss of ionization due to transport,  $\mathbf{V}$  being transport velocity. The motion of charged particles in the ionosphere is controlled mainly by the forces of pressure gradient, gravity, electric field and collisions. The momentum equation, which balances these forces, for the jth ion species can be written as

$$\rho_j \frac{d\mathbf{V}_j}{dt} = -\nabla p_j + \rho_j \mathbf{g} + \frac{q_j \rho_j}{m_j} (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{V}_j \times \mathbf{B}) - \sum_k \rho_j \nu_{jk} (\mathbf{V}_j - \mathbf{V}_k)$$
 (2)

where  $\mathbf{V}$ ,  $\rho$ , q and m are the velocity, density, charge and mass of ion j,  $\nu_{jk}$  is the collision frequency of ions j and k,  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are electric field and magnetic field, and  $j \neq k$ . The first term in equ. 2 represents the force due to pressure gradient ( $\nabla p_j$ ). The terms involving g and  $\nu_{jk}(\mathbf{V}_j - \mathbf{V}_k)$  are the forces due to gravity and collision (or friction) between ions j and k, and terms involving  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  are the forces due to static electric field ( $\mathbf{E}$ ) and Lorentz electric field ( $\mathbf{V}_j \times \mathbf{B}$ ). Since there are different ion species, a complete picture of the plasma motion would require treatment of a large number of coupled equations. The forces of viscosity, Coriolis acceleration, centripetal acceleration, and tides and waves are not included in equation 2. Theoretical values of electron and ion temperatures can be obtained by solving the energy equations. The electron energy equation is

$$\frac{3}{2}n_e k \frac{\delta T_e}{\delta t} = -n_e k T_e \nabla . V_e - \frac{3}{2}n_e k V_e. \nabla T_e - \nabla . \mathbf{q}_e + \sum Q_e - \sum L_e$$
 (3)

where  $\mathbf{q}_e = -\lambda_e \nabla T_e$  is the electron thermal heat flux, with  $\lambda_e$  being the thermal conductivity coefficient. The first term on the right-hand side represents adiabatic expansion, second term accounts for advection, third term is the divergence of electron heat flow, and  $\sum Q_e$  and  $\sum L_e$  are the sum of all the heating and cooling rates.

The ion energy equation, similar to the electron energy equation, is given by

$$\frac{3}{2}N_i k \frac{\delta T_i}{\delta t} = -N_i k T_i \bigtriangledown .V_i - \frac{3}{2}N_i k V_i . \bigtriangledown T_i - \bigtriangledown .\mathbf{q}_i + \sum Q_i - \sum L_i \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathbf{q}_i$  is ion thermal heat flux,  $\sum Q_i$  is the sum of all heating rates (mainly thermal ion heating due to Coulomb interactions with thermal electrons), and  $\sum L_i$  is the sum of all the ion cooling rates (mainly to neutral gas).

# 90 4. Theory of photoionization

The ionosphere is formed mainly from the photoionization of the atmosphere by solar X-rays and EUV radiations; high energy charged particles from the Sun also contribute to the ionization at high latitudes, which is not considered here. The intensity of solar radiations (X-rays and EUV) decreases due to absorption as they penetrate through the atmosphere while the concentration of the ionizable constituents increases. That causes the ionization to maximize at a height where the intensity of the radiation and concentration of the constituents optimise.

# <sup>98</sup> 4.1. Simplified production function

Considering a plane horizontally stratified atmosphere composed of a single gas constituent on which a monochromatic solar radiation is incident at a zenith angle  $\chi$  (angle with the vertical), the rate of production of ionization Q is given by (Ratcliffe, 1972)

$$Q = Q_m exp \left[ 1 + \frac{h_m - h}{H} - exp \left( \frac{h_m - h}{H} \right) \right]$$
 (5)

where  $Q_m$  is the peak rate of production at height  $h_m$  and  $H = \frac{kT}{mg}$  is the scale height of the atmospheric constituent; scale height of a gas is the height at which its density decreases to 1/e times its density at a given base level. Using reduced height  $z = \frac{h-h_m}{H}$  measured above the peak of production, Q can be written as

$$Q = Q_m exp \left[ 1 - z - exp \left( -z \right) \right] \tag{6}$$

The simplicity of the expression shows that the production layers corresponding to all wavelengths and solar zenith angles  $(\chi)$  have same shape in terms of the normalized quantities z and  $Q/Q_m$  as shown in Figure 3.

For vertical incidence of solar radiation ( $\chi = 0$ ), Q becomes

$$Q = Q_0 \exp\left[1 - y - \sec\chi\exp\left(-y\right)\right] \tag{7}$$

where  $y = (z - z_0)/H$  with  $z_0$  being the height of peak production  $Q_0$ . For very large  $y_0$  (y >> 0 or  $z >> z_0$ ), Q takes the form  $Q = Q_0 \exp\left(-\frac{z}{H}\right)$ . That is, at heights well above the ionization maximum, the ionization decays at the rate determined by the scale height of the ionizable constituent. For very small y (y << 0 or  $z << z_0$ ), Q becomes  $Q = Q_0 \exp\left[-\sec\chi\exp\left(-\frac{z-z_0}{H}\right)\right]$  which decreases rapidly toward lower altitudes.

### 4.2. Gracing incidence and generalized production function

The plane-earth approximation made above is not valid for  $\chi$  near 90° because  $\cos \chi$  reaches zero and therefore there should not be any production (equ. 7) while, in reality, significant production occurs even at  $\chi = 100^\circ$  when H is large. To overcome this difficulty, Chapman defined a gracing incidence function  $Ch(x,\chi)$  as

$$Ch(x,\chi) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\pi x \sin\chi\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\cos^2\chi\right) \left[1 \pm erf\left(\frac{1}{2}x\cos^2\chi\right)^{1/2}\right] \tag{8}$$

where  $x = (R_e + h)/H$  and the error function erf is readily available. The function  $Ch(x,\chi)$  should replace  $\sec \chi$  near sunrise and sunset. It departs from  $\sec \chi$  when  $\chi > 80^\circ$ . For example, at 90°,  $\sec \chi$  becomes infinite while  $Ch(x,90^\circ)$  becomes  $(\frac{1}{2}\pi x)^{1/2}$ .

The other assumptions, such as monochromatic solar radiation and single constituent 123 atmosphere, are also not valid. The atmosphere is composed of different gases of different scale heights and ionization potentials, and solar radiation spectrum consists of a myriad 125 of lines and bands with different intensities. Further, the absorption cross section varies 126 with gas species and photon wavelength. The approach is therefore to assume a neutral 127 atmosphere model with height distributions of some of the major gases in concern, and then 128 for a finite number of wavelength bands derive the production profiles for each gas, as used 129 in mathematical models of the ionosphere (e.g., Bailey and Balan, 1996). A generalized 130 production function  $Q_i$  can be defined as 131

$$Q_i = \sum_{\lambda} I(\lambda)\sigma_i(\lambda)n_i \exp\left(-\sum_j \sigma_j(\lambda)n_j H_j C h_j(\chi)\right)$$
(9)

where  $I(\lambda)$  is the intensity of solar radiation of wavelength  $\lambda$ ,  $\sigma_i(\lambda)$  and  $\sigma_j(\lambda)$  are the photoionization and photoabsorption cross-sections of the *i*th and *j*th neutral gases of densities  $n_i$  and  $n_j$ ;  $H_j$  is the scale height of the *j*th neutral gas and  $Ch_j(\chi)$  is the Chapman function of the *j*th gas. The summation over  $\lambda$  is for the wavelength range of the ionizing radiation and summation over *j* is for the important absorbing gases O, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>.

# 4.3. Optical depth and ionization potential

137

The intensity of solar radiation decreases due to absorption as it passes through the atmosphere. At the peak of ionization production, the intensity reduces to 1/e times the intensity at the top of the atmosphere  $(I_m = I_{\infty}/e)$ ; at that altitude the intensity is said to reach one *optical depth*. The optical depth  $\tau$  at any altitude where the density is n and scale height is H is given by  $\tau = \sigma n H \sec \chi$ . Since  $\sec \chi$  is least when  $\chi$  is zero, unit optical

depth is reached at the lowest altitude for an overhead Sun. The altitude of unit optical depth for an over head sun is illustrated in Figure 4 for the solar spectrum below 3000Å.

As shown, the different wavelengths have different heights of unit optical depth.

Production of ionization depends also on the ionization potentials  $V_p$  of different species. The ionization and dissociation potentials (according to  $V_p = h\nu = h\frac{c}{\lambda}$ , with h being Plank's constant and  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  being photon frequency and wavelength) of the most abundant species in the atmosphere are listed in Table 1. The species get ionized or dissociated by a photon 149 if the photon energy  $(h\nu)$  is greater than  $V_p$ . Typical ionization cross sections in the EUV 150 regime are of the order of  $10^{-21}$  to  $10^{-22}$  m<sup>2</sup>. For the low end of this range and an overhead 151 Sun, unit optical depth of EUV radiation corresponds to  $\frac{1}{\sigma} = nH = 10^{22} \ m^{-2}$ , which is 152 satisfied at about 110 km height. That explains why most of the solar EUV radiation is 153 absorbed above 110 km height. Photodissociation has a smaller cross-section ( $\approx 10^{-24} \text{ m}^2$ ) 154 which satisfies down to 80 km height where  $nH \approx 10^{24}~{\rm m}^{-2}$ . The collisional cross-section 155 for  $O_2$  is reduced to  $10^{-27}$  m<sup>2</sup> in the Herzberg continuum (2026-2424Å). This is the reason 156 why these wavelengths can penetrate deeply into the stratosphere where  $nH = 10^{27} \text{ m}^{-2}$ , 157 where  $O_2$  can dissociate to form O atoms. 158

#### 159 4.4. Chemical loss of ionization

Once ionization is produced, a part of it is lost by chemical reactions and another part is removed (or added) by transport mechanisms. Important loss reactions are radiative and dissociative recombinations

$$X^{+} + e \to X + h\nu \tag{10}$$

$$XY^{+} + e \to X + Y \tag{11}$$

The radiative recombination (equ. 10) removes  $O^+$  ions through  $O^+ + e \rightarrow O + h\nu$ , with the liberated photon (needed to conserve energy) having optical wavelength. It is a comparatively slow reaction because it is difficult to conserve momentum as it results in only one material particle. A more rapid loss process for  $O^+$  is through a chain of reactions involving  $N_2$  or  $O_2$ . The dissociative recombination (equ. 11) is important for the loss of  $N_2^+$ ,  $O_2^+$ , and  $NO^+$  ions (primary ions in D and E regions).

At heights where both reactions (10 and 11) are important, charge neutrality requires  $n_e = [X^+] + [XY^+]$ , and the loss rate  $L_i$  should be proportional to the product of electron and ion densities as  $L_i = \alpha n_e^2$  where  $\alpha$  is the recombination coefficient. Considering photochemical equilibrium (when production balances chemical loss), the continuity equation becomes  $Q_i = L_i = \alpha n_e^2 = Q_0 exp [1 - y - \sec \chi exp(-y)]$ . That gives

$$n_e(z) = \sqrt{\frac{Q_0}{\alpha}} exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1 - y - \sec\chi exp(-y)\right)\right]$$
 (12)

This is referred to as  $\alpha$ -Chapman profile, which is representative of E region where molecular ions are dominant and transport processes are unimportant.

However, when charge neutrality is maintained with the loss of one electron-ion pair,  $L_i = \beta n_e$  where  $\beta$  is the loss coefficient. Photochemical equilibrium then becomes  $Q_i = L_i = \beta n_e$ , which gives the electron density profile called  $\beta$ -Chapman profile as

$$n_e(z) = \frac{Q_0}{\beta} exp \left[ 1 - y - \sec \chi exp(-y) \right]$$
 (13)

### $_{79}$ 4.5. Formation of ionospheric regions

185

The ionizing radiations have a wide, continuous spectrum superposed by numerous intense emission lines. The atmospheric constituents have different ionization potentials and, in the thermosphere, they are distributed according to barometric law. Therefore, ionization of the different constituents maximizes at different altitudes. That accounts in part to the formation of the different ionospheric regions (Figure 1).

D region is an ion-neutral collision-dominated region of molecular ions  $(O_2^+,\,N_2^+,\,NO^+)$ 

produced by X-rays (< 8Å), EUV (1027Å -1118Å) and H Ly- $\alpha$  (1216Å) radiations. Galactic cosmic rays, the most energetic radiation, provides additional ionization for D region and 187 below. The cosmic rays are so energetic that the secondary energetic particles associated with primary cosmic rays penetrate to ground level before reaching the ends of their paths. The primary and secondary cosmic ray ionization results in the cosmic ray layer (Figure 1). 190 The E region is produced mainly from the photoionization of O and  $O_2$  by EUV radiation 191 (796-1027Å), X-rays (8-140Å) and H Ly  $\beta$  (1026Å) line. The chemical loss-process in the 192 E-region is one of dissociative recombination (discussed below). 193 The F region, main ionospheric region, contains bulk of the ionosphere. It is produced 194 mainly from the photoionization of O and partly form N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> by EUV (170-796Å) 195 radiation. The important chemical loss processes in the F region are those leading to 196 the loss of O<sup>+</sup> ions through radiative and dissociative recombinations (discussed below). 197 Although F region ionization production maximizes below 200 km height, the region splits 198 into  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  layers during daytime due to the altitude variations of chemical loss processes 199 and dynamical processes (discussed below),  $F_1$  layer near the peak of ionization production 200

### 4.6. Formation of $F_1$ layer

201

and  $F_2$  layer (main ionospheric layer) at higher heights.

The main ion  $(O^+)$  in the F region is lost through the ion-neutral rearrangement reactions  $O^+ + N_2 \rightarrow NO^+ + N$  and  $O^+ + O_2 \rightarrow O_2^+ + O$ . The resulting molecular ions  $(NO^+$ or  $O_2^+)$  are lost by comparatively rapid dissociative recombination process with electrons. The height at which the ion-neutral rearrangement and dissociative recombination becomes equal is called *transition height*  $h_t$ , below which rearrangement dominates and above which dissociation dominates. A clear  $F_1$  layer is formed when the transition height  $h_t$  lies above  $h_0$  (height of peak ionization production), which is satisfied during daytime away from noon in the summer of low solar activity. During other daytime periods  $h_t$  lies below  $h_0$ , and
hence no clear  $F_1$  peak is formed at  $h_0$ , instead an  $F_1$  ledge is formed (Ratcliffe, 1972).

### $_{212}$ 4.7. Plasma diffusion and $F_2$ layer

Plasma diffusion can explain the formation of ionospheric peak ( $F_2$  layer) at heights above the peak of ionization production (e.g., Yonezawa, 1956). For simplicity, consider vertical plasma diffusion in the absence of magnetic field ( $\mathbf{B}=0$ ), neutral wind ( $\mathbf{U}=0$ ) and electric current. Though electrons are lighter, electrons and ions diffuse together as a single system (ambipolar plasma diffusion) due to the polarization electric field between them. The solution of the equations for the vertical motion of electrons and ions, gives the vertical plasma flux (nW) as

$$nW = -\frac{k(T_i + T_e)}{m_i \nu_i} \left[ \frac{dn}{dz} + \frac{nm_i g}{k(T_i + T_e)} \right]$$
(14)

$$= -D_p \left( \frac{dn}{dz} + \frac{n}{H_p} \right) \tag{15}$$

where  $D_p = \frac{k(T_i + T_e)}{m_i \nu_i}$  is ambipolar diffusion coefficient and  $H_p = \frac{k(T_i + T_e)}{m_i g}$  is plasma scale height. If  $T_e = T_i$ , then  $D_p = 2D$  and  $H_p = 2H$  where D and H are the diffusion coefficient and scale height of a gas of neutral particles having the same mass and temperature as ions. The solution of plasma diffusion equation

$$\frac{\delta n}{\delta t} = -\frac{\delta}{\delta z}(nW) = \frac{\delta}{\delta z} \left[ D_p \left( \frac{\delta n}{\delta z} + \frac{n}{H_p} \right) \right]$$
 (16)

under equilibrium becomes  $\frac{\delta n}{\delta z} = -\frac{n}{H_p}$ , which for constant  $H_p$  gives

$$n = n_0 \exp\left(-\frac{z - z_0}{H_p}\right) \tag{17}$$

where  $n_0$  is the plasma density at a reference altitude  $z_0$ , for example, at F region maximum.

The equilibrium electron density profile above the ionospheric peak therefore decays exponentially with altitude with a scale height twice as large as the scale height of the neutral

atmosphere (because  $H_p = 2H$ ). The diffusion coefficient  $D_p$  increases exponentially with increasing altitude as

$$D_p = D_0 \, exp\left(\frac{z - z_0}{H}\right) \tag{18}$$

where  $D_0$  is the diffusion coefficient at  $z_0$ .

In the ionosphere,  $T_e$  is always higher than  $T_i$  (except during frictional heating). Let  $T_e = c T_i$ , with c > 1. Then  $D_p$  and  $H_p$  increase by the factor (1 + c)/2 when  $T_e > T_i$ .

Thus, the shape of the electron density profile and plasma diffusion are strongly dependent on the electron-ion temperature ratio.

#### 235 Effect of geomagnetic field

Plasma flux along geomagnetic field (due to diffusion, from equ. 15) and its vertical component are

$$nv_{\parallel} = -D_p \sin I \left( \frac{dn}{dz} + \frac{n}{H_p} \right) \tag{19}$$

$$nW = nv_{\parallel} \sin I = -\sin^2 I D_p \left( \frac{dn}{dz} + \frac{n}{H_p} \right)$$
 (20)

The vertical flux becomes zero at I=0 (equator) where field lines are horizontal.

#### $\mathbf{F}_2$ layer

As discussed in the formation of  $F_1$  layer (section 4.6), the electron density above the  $F_1$  layer increases (due to slow decrease of the production rate Q compared to chemical loss coefficient  $\beta$ ). At high altitudes, where both Q and  $\beta n_e$  are unimportant and electron density is controlled mainly by diffusion, the electron density decreases exponentially with increasing altitude as discussed above. Thus, the electron density must maximize at an altitude in between these altitude regions. That is where the ionospheric peak (or the  $F_2$  peak) occurs. Under steady state at low altitudes,  $Q = \beta n_e$  (which is  $\beta$  Chapman relation).

At high altitudes, where  $Q \approx 0$  and  $\beta \approx 0$ , the steady state solution gives

$$n = n_{max} \left( -\frac{z - z_{max}}{H_p} \right) \tag{21}$$

$$z_{max} = \frac{H}{1+\lambda} ln \frac{HH_p \beta_0}{D_0 \sin^2 I}$$
 (22)

where H is the scale height of a mixture of  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  and  $\lambda = m(O_2, N_2)/m(O)$  is their total mass compared to atomic oxygen mass.

### 50 4.8. Effect of neutral wind and electric field

As shown above, ionospheric peak occurs at altitudes above the peak of ionization pro-251 duction due to plasma diffusion. The peak can be shifted by neutral air winds and electric fields. A neutral wind of meridional component  $U_{\theta}$  and zonal component  $U_{\phi}$  produces a wind U in the magnetic meridian  $U = U_{\theta} \cos \alpha \pm U_{\phi} \sin \alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is magnetic declination angle and opposite signs correspond to opposite hemispheres. A horizontal wind blowing 255 towards magnetic equator with speed U drives F region plasma up the geomagnetic field 256 lines with a speed  $U\cos I$ , of which vertical component is  $U\sin I\cos I$ , with I being dip 257 angle. This upward drift raises the ionospheric peak to altitudes of reduced chemical loss 258 and so increases peak electron density. An opposite effect happens when the wind blows 259 poleward. Very roughly, the rise in peak height  $\Delta z$  for an equatorward wind U is 260

$$\Delta z \approx \frac{H}{D} U \sin I \cos I \tag{23}$$

The altitude of the ionosphere peak can also be drifted by electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  at places where magnetic field is not vertical. The drift velocity is  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}/\mathrm{B}^2$ , and its vertical component is upward for eastward  $\mathbf{E}$  and downward for westward  $\mathbf{E}$ . This electromagnetic drift is most effective at equatorial latitudes, where the magnetic field is horizontal and  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drift is totally vertical. The  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  drift is also effective at high latitudes where magnetic field is vertical and hence plasma drifts horizontally.

### $\mathbf{F}_3$ layer

An additional stratification, called F<sub>3</sub> layer, can occur in the equatorial F region during 268 daytime (Balan et al., 1998). As daytime  $F_2$  layer drifts upward mainly due to upward  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ drift and partly due to equatorward neutral wind (and other dynamical sources such as tides and waves), a new layer develops at lower altitudes through the usual photochemical and 271 dynamical processes of the equatorial F region. As time progresses, the original F<sub>2</sub> layer drifts upward and forms  $F_3$  layer while the new layer develops into usual  $F_2$  layer. Both 273 layers can be detected by bottomside ionosondes for sometime when the density of F<sub>3</sub> layer 274 remains greater than that of F<sub>2</sub> layer. As time progresses further, both layers drift upward, 275 and when the density of F<sub>3</sub> layer decreases below that of of F<sub>2</sub> layer, the F<sub>3</sub> layer can be 276 observed as topside ledges. Strong F<sub>3</sub> layer develops and rapidly ascents to the topside 277 during strong daytime eastward electric field as during prompt penetration of electric field 278 (Figure 5). 279

### 5. Ionospheric electric fields and currents

When ions and electrons respond differently to the forces acting on them, there arises
an electric current; this current diverges if there exists a spatial variation in electrical
conductivity. Then a (polarisation) electric field builds up quickly (within microseconds in
the ionosphere) to modify the ion and electron velocities so that current divergence becomes
zero. These physical process together with an ionospheric dynamo can explain the existence
of electric fields and currents in the ionosphere.

# <sup>287</sup> 5.1. Dynamo theory

When air (due to tides, waves and winds) tries to move ionospheric plasma across geomagnetic field, there arises an electric field  $\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B}$  (Lorentz field), which drives currents at levels in the ionosphere (mainly E region) where electrical conductivity is appreciable.

The current density  $\mathbf{j}$  is given by  $\mathbf{j} = \sigma.(\mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B})$  where  $\sigma$  is conductivity. However, due to the vertical and horizontal variations of  $\sigma$ , currents cannot flow freely in all directions. That causes accumulation of electric charge, and a polarization electric field  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \phi$  builds up,  $\phi$  being electric potential. The total electric field  $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B}$ . The polarization field adjusts itself until the current flow becomes non-divergent and horizontal. The current density  $\mathbf{j}'$  due to the total field is  $\mathbf{j}' = \sigma'.\mathbf{E}' = \sigma'.(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B})$ . As described below, the dynamo action is effective at E region heights where electrons and ions respond differently to neutral wind. At F region altitudes, the plasma motion is primarily along geomagnetic field lines.

### 300 Ion and electron velocities

The ion and electron velocities (from momentum equation 2) are

$$\mathbf{V}_{i} = \mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{1 + k_{i}^{2}} \left[ \frac{k_{i}}{B} \mathbf{E}' + \left( \frac{k_{i}}{B} \right)^{2} \mathbf{E}' \times \mathbf{B} + \left( \frac{k_{i}}{B} \right)^{3} (\mathbf{E}'.\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{B} \right]$$
(24)

$$\mathbf{V}_{e} = \mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{1 + k_{e}^{2}} \left[ -\frac{k_{e}}{B} \mathbf{E}' + \left(\frac{k_{e}}{B}\right)^{2} \mathbf{E}' \times \mathbf{B} - \left(\frac{k_{e}}{B}\right)^{3} (\mathbf{E}'.\mathbf{B}) \mathbf{B} \right]$$
(25)

where  $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B}$ , and  $k_i = \frac{\Omega_i}{\nu_{in}}$  and  $k_e = \frac{\Omega_e}{\nu_{en}}$  are ion and electron mobility parameters, with  $\Omega_i = eB/m_i$  and  $\Omega_e = eB/m_e$  being ion and electron gyro frequencies;  $\nu_{in} = 2.6 \times 10^{-6}$ 303  $10^{-15}(n_n+n_i)(M_n')^{1/2}$  and  $\nu_{en}=5.4\times 10^{-16}n_nT_e^{1/2}$  are ion-neutral and electron-neutral 304 collision frequencies, with  $M'_n$  denoting mean molecular mass, and densities in m<sup>-3</sup>. 305 Altitude variations of collision frequencies ( $\nu_{in}$  and  $\nu_{en}$ ), gyro frequencies ( $\Omega_i$  and  $\Omega_e$ ), 306 mobility parameters  $(k_i \text{ and } k_e)$ , and conductivities  $\sigma_p$ ,  $\sigma_{\parallel}$  and  $\sigma_H$  are shown in Figure 6. 307 As shown,  $k_i \ll 1$  at altitudes below about 100 km, and therefore  $\mathbf{V_i} \approx \mathbf{U}$  (from equ. 308 24). Hence ion velocity in the bottom part of E region is determined purely by neutral 309 wind (ions being closely coupled to neutral gas). At altitudes between about 100 and 115 310 km,  $k_i$  is still much less than 1, and so  $\mathbf{V}_i \approx \mathbf{U} + k_i \frac{\mathbf{E}}{B}$  where we have used the fact that  $(k_i/B) \mid \mathbf{U} \times \mathbf{B} \mid \ll \mid \mathbf{U} \mid$ . Thus, the relative ion-neutral velocity in the middle part of E region (100-115 km) is parallel to the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$ .

At high altitudes (above about 180, F region),  $k_i \gg 1$ , and we can consider the relative ion-neutral velocity parallel to **B** and perpendicular to **B**. The parallel velocity

$$(\mathbf{V}_i)_{\parallel} = (\mathbf{U})_{\parallel} + \left(\frac{k_i}{B}\right) \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} \tag{26}$$

 $^{316}$  becomes proportional to the E field component along B, and perpendicular velocity becomes

$$(\mathbf{V}_i)_{\perp} = \mathbf{U} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{E}' \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2}\right)_{\perp} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2}$$
 (27)

For electrons,  $k_e \gg 1$  at all altitudes (Figure 6), and therefore

$$(\mathbf{V}_e)_{\perp} = \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B^2} \tag{28}$$

Hence the ion and electron velocities in the F region (equs 27 and 28) are along the  $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$  direction and independent of neutral wind.

# 5.2. Ionospheric conductivities

The current density  ${\bf j}$  at a given height in the ionosphere is given by  ${\bf j}=ne({\bf V}_i-{\bf V}_e)$  in which singly charged ions and charge neutrality are assumed. Inserting the values of  ${\bf V}_i$  and  ${\bf V}_e$ , and considering  ${\bf E}={\bf E}_{\parallel}+{\bf E}_{\perp}$ , the current density becomes

$$\mathbf{j} = \sigma_0 \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} + \sigma_1 \mathbf{E}_{\perp} - \sigma_2 \frac{\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}}{B}. \tag{29}$$

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{ne}{B}(k_e + k_i) \tag{30}$$

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{ne}{B} \left( \frac{k_e}{1 + k_e^2} + \frac{k_i}{1 + k_i^2} \right) \tag{31}$$

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{ne}{B} \left( \frac{k_e^2}{1 + k_e^2} - \frac{k_i^2}{1 + k_i^2} \right) \tag{32}$$

are the parallel or direct conductivity ( $\sigma_0$ ), transverse or Pedersen conductivity ( $\sigma_1$ ) and Hall conductivity ( $\sigma_2$ ). The parallel conductivity  $\sigma_0$  corresponds to the conductivity when E is parallel to B. When E and B are perpendicular, the conductivity parallel to E (but perpendicular to B) is the Pedersen conductivity  $\sigma_1$ , and that perpendicular to both E and B is Hall conductivity  $\sigma_2$ . If the Hall current is inhibited, then the Pederson current (in the direction of the electric field) will be enhanced due to the polarization effect in the Hall direction. The Cowling conductivity ( $\sigma_3$ ) then corresponds to the enhanced current in the direction of the electric field. The narrow current bands of the equatorial electrojet and of the two auroral electrojets owe their existence to the Cowling conductivity acting in these regions.

### Spatial variation of conductivity and electrojets

Considering the cartesian coordinate system (x, y, z), with x toward magnetic north, y toward east and z toward Earth's center, the electric field, in general, has components in all directions and magnetic field has components in the x and z directions so that

$$\mathbf{E} = E_x \mathbf{x} + E_y \mathbf{y} + E_z \mathbf{z} \tag{33}$$

$$\mathbf{B} = B\left(\cos I\mathbf{x} + \sin I\mathbf{z}\right) \tag{34}$$

where I is dip angle. The current density has components in all directions and can be simplified to the tensor form

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_x \\ j_y \\ j_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \sin^2 I + \sigma_0 \cos^2 I & -\sigma_2 \sin I & (\sigma_0 - \sigma_1) \sin I \cos I \\ \sigma_2 \sin I & \sigma_1 & -\sigma_2 \cos I \\ (\sigma_0 - \sigma_1) \sin I \cos I & \sigma_2 \cos I & \sigma_1 \cos^2 I + \sigma_0 \sin^2 I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{pmatrix}$$
(35)

At high latitudes where I  $\approx 90^{\circ}$  and B is in the z direction, the above equation reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_x \\ j_y \\ j_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & -\sigma_2 & 0 \\ \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{pmatrix}$$
(36)

Now suppose the northward current is inhibited  $(j_x = 0)$ , then  $E_x = \frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1} E_y$  and current in
the east-west direction  $(j_y)$ , which is auroral electrojet current, becomes

$$j_y = \left(\sigma_1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_0}\right) E_y = \sigma_A E_y. \tag{37}$$

 $\sigma_A = \left(\sigma_1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_0}\right)$  is the auroral electrojet conductivity.

In equatorial region, where I is zero, the current tensor (equ. 35) reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} j_x \\ j_y \\ j_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 & -\sigma_2 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E_x \\ E_y \\ E_z \end{pmatrix}$$
(38)

Now if the vertical current  $j_z$  is inhibited, then the above equation gives  $E_z=-\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}E_y$  and
the east-west current  $j_y$  (equatorial electrojet current) becomes

$$j_y = \left(\sigma_1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1}\right) E_y = \sigma_3 E_y. \tag{39}$$

 $\sigma_3 = \sigma_1 + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\sigma_1}$  is known as Cowling conductivity. Hence, the auroral electrojet and equatorial electrojet result from the polarization enforced by the restrictions  $j_x = 0$  and  $j_z = 0$ .

### <sup>349</sup> 6. Ionospheric variations and irregularities

As understood from theory, ionospheric density should vary with time of the day, day
of year, season of year and phase of solar cycle. These variations are refereed to as diurnal,
day-to-day, seasonal and solar cycle variations. In addition, following geomagnetic storms,
ionospheric density often increase/decrease very much from its average level, which are
known as positive/negative ionospheric storms. The studies of geomagnetic and ionospheric
storms are extremely valuable for *space weather* applications. The specific space weather
effects include ionospheric density changes that affect GPS applications (communication
and navigation), ionospheric currents that affect power supply and gas supply systems,

atmospheric heating that affects satellite orbits, and heat and energy transfer that affect system dynamics.

Dense patches of ionization often occurring at E region heights (100-130 km), which is not related to normal E region, is called *sporadic E* or Es as it occurs sporadically. Sometimes Es appears in sheets which completely hide the overlying F layer; it may also 362 be patchy and partially transparent to radio waves. The horizontal extent of Es varies from tens to hundreds of kilometers, it drifts with velocities of the order of 50 m s<sup>-1</sup> and 364 has lifetime of tens of minutes to several hours. For a theory of Es see Whitehead (1989). 365 The most interesting physics in the equatorial F region takes place during postsunset 366 hours when F layer is temporarily raised to high altitudes where collisions are low, E region 367 density is reduced rapidly by chemical recombination, and bottomside F region density 368 gradient is increased by loss of photoionization. In short, the postsunset F layer is in a state 369 of delicate equilibrium; it is like lifting and lowering of a system consisting of a heavy fluid 370 resting on top of a light fluid. Any disturbance caused by background noise, neutral winds, 371 gravity waves, or some other source can disturb the equilibrium and generate instabilities 372 (or irregularities) in the plasma. If conditions (especially high altitude of the ionosphere) 373 are favourable, the irregularities will grow and manifest as spread F and plasma bubbles 374 (e.g., Kelley, 1989), which can disturb radio communication. The need for understanding 375 the characteristics and origin of these irregularities, which cover scale sizes from centimeters 376 to hundreds of kilometres, has increased as demands on communication and navigation have grown through satellite systems such as GPS network.

#### References References

- Atreya, S. K., J. H. Waite, T. M. Donahue, A. F. Nagy and J. C. McConnell, Theory, measure-
- ments and models of the upper atmosphere and ionosphere of Saturn, In Saturn, T. Gehrels
- and M. S. Mathews (ed), University of Arizona Press, Tucson, AZ, pp.239-280, 1984.
- Bailey, G. J., and N. Balan, A low-latitude ionosphere-plasmasphere model, in STEP Handbook,
- edited by R. W. Schunk, pp. 173-206, Utah State Univ. Logan, 1996.
- Balan, N., et al., Physical mechanism and statistics of occurrence of an additional layer in the
- equatorial ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 29169, 1998.
- Balan, N., et al., F3 layer during penetration electric field, J. Geophy. Res., doi:10.1029/2008JA013206,
- звв 2008.
- Brekke, A., Physics of the upper polar atmosphere, John Wiley and sons, New York, 1997.
- Kelley, M. C., The Earth's Ionosphere, plasma physics and electrodynamics, Academic press, INC,
- <sup>391</sup> New York, 1989.
- Knudsen, W. C., A. J. Kliore and R. C. Whitten, Solar cycle changes in the ionization source of
- the nightside Venus ionosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 13391, 1987.
- Mahajan, K. K. and J. Kar, Planetary Ionospheres, Space Sci. Rev., 47, 303, 1988.
- Ratcliffe, J. A., An introduction to the ionosphere and magnetosphere, Cambridge University
- <sup>396</sup> Press, 1972.
- Rishbeth, H., and O. K. Garriott, Introduction to ionospheric physics, Academic press, New York,
- <sup>398</sup> 1969.
- Viggiano, A. A., and F. Arnold, Ion chemistry and composition of the atmosphere, in Volland
- 400 (1995), Vol, I, 1, 1995.
- Whitehead, J. D., Recent work on mid-latitude and equatorial sporadic E, J. Atmos. Terr. Phys.,
- *51*, 401, 1989.
- 403 Yonezawa, T., A new theory of formation of the F2 layer, J. Radio Res. Labs., 3, 1, 1956.

- Fig. 1. Typical ionization density profile of Earth's atmosphere; major ion species at different
- altitudes are listed (Viggiano and Arnold, 1995).
- Fig. 2. Electron density profiles of Venus's ionosphere at solar maximum (1980) and solar minimum
- 407 (1986) obtained by PVO radio occultation (Knudsen et al., 1987).
- <sup>408</sup> Fig. 3. Normalized Chapman production function versus reduced height z for different solar
- zenith angles  $\chi$ .
- 410 Fig. 4. The altitude of unit optical depth for wavelengths below 3000Å. The arrows indicate the
- wavelength regions of typical photoionization and photodissociation.
- Fig. 5: Ionograms showing the development of F<sub>3</sub> layer at Jicamarca (11.9°S, 76.8°W; dip latitude
- 1°N) during eastward prompt penetration electric field on 09 November 2004 (Balan et al., 2008).
- Fig. 6. Typical altitude profiles of the ion-neutral  $(\nu_{in})$  and electron-neutral  $(\nu_{en})$  collision
- frequencies, and ion and electron gyrofrequencies  $(\Omega_i \text{ and } \Omega_e)$  (a), ion and electron mobility
- parameters  $(k_i \text{ and } k_e)$  (b), and Pederson, Hall and parallel conductivities  $(\sigma_p. \ \sigma_H \text{ and } \sigma_{\parallel})$  (c)
- for an auroral location such as Tromso (69°, 19°E) (Berkke, 1997).
- Table 1: Ionization and dissociation potentials (and equivalent wavelengths, according to  $V_p =$
- $h\nu = h\frac{c}{\lambda}$ , with h being Plank's constant and  $\nu$  and  $\lambda$  being photon frequency and wavelength) of
- most abundant species in Earth's atmosphere.




Fig. 1: Typical ionization density profile of Earth's atmosphere; major ion species at different altitudes are listed (Viggiano and Arnold, 1995).




Fig. 2: Electron density profiles of Venus's ionosphere at solar maximum (1980) and solar minimum (1986) obtained by PVO radio occultation (Knudsen et al., 1987).




Fig. 3: Normalized Chapman production function versus reduced height z for different solar zenith angles  $\chi$ .




Fig. 4: The altitude of unit optical depth for wavelengths below 3000Å. The arrows indicate the wavelength regions of typical photoionization and photodissociation.




Fig. 5: Ionograms showing the development of F<sub>3</sub> layer at Jicamarca (11.9°S, 76.8°W; dip
latitude 1°N) during eastward prompt penetration electric field on 09 November 2004 (Balan et
al., 2008).




Fig. 6: Typical altitude profiles of the ion-neutral  $(\nu_{in})$  and electron-neutral  $(\nu_{en})$  collision frequencies, and ion and electron gyrofrequencies  $(\Omega_i \text{ and } \Omega_e)$  (a), ion and electron mobility parameters  $(k_i \text{ and } k_e)$  (b), and Pederson, Hall and parallel conductivities  $(\sigma_p, \sigma_H \text{ and } \sigma_{\parallel})$  (c) for an auroral location such as Tromso  $(69^{\circ}, 19^{\circ}\text{E})$  (Berkke, 1997).

Table 1: Ionization and dissociation potentials

	Ionization		Dissociation	
Species	$V_p(\mathrm{eV})$	$\lambda({ m \AA})$	$V_p(\mathrm{eV})$	$\lambda({ m \AA})$
$\overline{\mathrm{N}_2}$	15.58	796	9.76	1270
$O_2$	12.08	1026	5.12	2422
O	13.61	911		
N	14.54	853		
NO	9.25	1340	6.51	1905
Н	13.59	912		
He	24.58	504		